PENBINA , i n s t i t u t e

Sustainable Energy Solutions

Analysis of the Government of Canada's April 2007 Greenhouse Gas Policy Announcement

Matthew Bramley Director, Climate Change, Pembina Institute

May 28, 2007



© 2006 Pembina Institute www.pembina.org



What Minister Baird announced

National GHG emission targets

- 2010–12
- 2020
- 2050
- Industrial GHG targets and "compliance options" (2010–2020)
- Combination of the industry framework with other measures to achieve the national target for 2020





What we examined

Are the targets adequate?

- national
- industry
- Has the government convinced us that it will achieve the targets?
 - industry
 - national





Adequacy of the national targets

Table 1. The government's national GHG emissions targets relative to the 1990 level and Canada's Kyoto target.³

	Relative to 2006	Relative to 1990	Relative to Kyoto target
2010–12	approx. 3% above	approx. 31% above	approx. 39% above
2020	20% below	approx. 2% above	approx. 8% above
2050	60–70% below	approx. 49–62% below	_

The targets fall far short of

- Requirements based on climate science
- Commitments of leading countries
- Canada's legal obligations under Kyoto
- The 2020 target appears to be arbitrary





Industry targets

- Regulated industry sources represent 45% of national emissions
- Government expects industrial emissions in 2020 to be 12% above 1990, or 18% below 2006 (+ technology fund reductions?)
- But there are serious doubts as to whether this will be achieved:
 - Intensity targets
 - Targets for new facilities not yet defined
 - Scope of exempted emissions not yet defined
 - Some compliance options may not result in reductions
 - The bulk of the reductions are "backloaded"





"Backloading"

Table 3. Reductions in actual annual GHG emissions (Mt), *relative to projected levels in the absence of regulations*, that could be expected to result from the proposed regulatory framework for heavy industry.

Year	2010	2011	2012	2015	2020
Total required reductions ¹⁷	49	54	58	72	88
Of which paper reductions					
Technology fund payments ¹⁸	-34 (70%) -5	-35 (65%) -5	-35 (60%) -5	-29 (40%) -5	0
Early action credit ¹⁹	-5	-5	-5	0	0
Resulting actual reductions	5	9	13	38	88

- Reduces environmental benefits
- Invites brinksmanship (review in 2012)
- Total reductions in 2008–12:
 - This government 27 Mt
 - Previous government 180 Mt





Lenient treatment of oil & gas

- Intensity targets could allow tripling of emissions from oil sands
- Disproportionate emission increases from energy sector during 1990–2006 are largely ignored
- Taxpayers could end up paying for half the cost of carbon capture projects
- "Unintentional fugitive emissions" are exempted from main regulatory framework
- New oil sands facilities are treated more leniently than existing facilities





20 unanswered questions about industry regulations

- 1. "Gaming" base year data
- 7. How will fugitive emissions be regulated?
- 8–9. Targets for new facilities not defined
- 11–15. Technology fund
 - Quantity and timing of emission reductions
 - Governance
- 16. Offset credits: "additionality"
- 20. Public disclosure of compliance information





Achievement of the national targets

2010–12:

- No explanation of how target will be met, just an assertion
- Need to find 8 Mt of extra reductions every year
- Achievement of 2020 target doubtful because of:
 - Serious doubts about achieving industry targets
 - No explanation of amounts of reductions assigned to other government measures
 - Reductions from technology fund will not all occur by 2020
 - Double counting risks technology fund and offset credits





How it's supposed to add up

Table 4. The government's explanation of how it expects to meet its medium-term targetfor national GHG emissions.

		(Mt)
	Reductions in annual emissions in 2020 below the 2006 level:	
1.	Regulatory framework for heavy industry	60
2.	Regulations on vehicle fuel efficiency, energy efficiency of energy-using products, biofuels and unintentional fugitive emissions	40
3.	Financial incentive programs for renewable energy, technology development and energy efficiency in buildings and transportation	10
4.	Actions by provinces/territories supported by the federal government, plus actions by industry supported by the technology fund included in the regulatory framework for heavy industry	40
	Total reductions	150

Double counting risks

- Technology fund (line 4) could overlap with lines 1–3
- Offset credits (line 1) could overlap with lines 2-4

