



# **Clean Development Mechanism Project Opportunities in India**

TERI, New Delhi

January 2001

# **<u>1. Table of Contents</u>**

1.	Intro	oduction	3
2.	Clim	nate Change Policy and Government Stand on CDM	3
	2.1	Climate change policy: Structure and principles	3
	2.2	CDM: the Government perspective	4
	2.3	Recent CDM-related initiatives	5
3.	Curr	rent Emissions and Projections	7
4.	Clim	nate Change Mitigation Options and Activities	9
	4.1	Sector options	9
	4.2	Review of AIJ and GEF projects	.11
5.	CDN	M Project Opportunities	.12
	5.1	Energy sector priorities	.13
	5.2	Priority CDM projects	.14
	5.3	Analysis	.23
	5.4	Future options	.31

# Introduction

Over the last three years, the CDM (clean development mechanism) has generated a lot of hype, hope, and scepticism. The ratification of the Kyoto Protocol hinges mainly on the resolution of issues related to the operationalisation of the CDM. In the meantime, efforts have been made to identify possible opportunities for the implementation of CDM projects in developing countries.

The present report analyses India's developmental priorities to present a set of projects that would likely be accepted by the GoI (Government of India). The report first gives the background to the government's policy on climate change with reference to the CDM and describes the CDM-related activities of various stakeholders in the economy. The next section focuses on emissions from the Indian economy to put the possible mitigation options in context. The penultimate section reviews the existing literature and the government's developmental priorities to identify priority CDM projects. The last section of the report analyses the identified CDM options for India.

# Climate change policy and government stand on CDM

# Climate change policy: structure and principles

The GoI is a signatory to the UNFCCC (United Nations Convention on Climate Change) and ratified the Convention in November 1993. The MoEF (Ministry of Environment and Forests), which is the nodal ministry for all environment related activities in the country, is the nodal ministry for coordinating the climate change policy as well. The Working Group on the FCCC was constituted to oversee the implementation of obligations under the FCCC and to act as a consultative mechanism in the government for inputs to policy formulation on climate change. To enlarge the feedback mechanism, the GoI has constituted the Advisory Group on Climate Change under the chairmanship of the Minister of Environment and Forests. Invitees to the advisory group include representatives of line ministries, research institutes, and civil society.

The policy of the GoI on climate change is based on three broad principles.

- 1 The primary responsibility of reducing GHG (greenhouse gas) emissions is that of developed countries. They should show a demonstrable sincerity in initiating actions to address climate change.
- 2 The development needs of developing countries are of prime importance.
- 3 The developed world should transfer resources and technologies at favourable terms to the developing world, thereby facilitating developing countries to move towards a sustainable development path.

### CDM: the government perspective

The CDM represents a step forward from AIJ (activities implemented jointly) to a crediting regime. AIJ was proposed, under considerable reservations by developing countries, as a pilot phase to operationalize Article 4(2) of the FCCC. After great deliberation on participation in AIJ, the GoI set up the AIJ Working Group in the MoEF, and issued a set of guidelines for submission of AIJ projects to the government. Under a set process to evaluate and approve AIJ projects, a number of projects have been approved with the aim of gaining experience in implementing AIJ-type activities.

The CDM too was viewed with reservations, especially since the Kyoto Protocol text did not clearly state the guidelines for the mechanism. It has been debated on at length taken place since 1997 and broad consensus seems to be emerging on some issues regarding the operationalization of CDM. This is reflected in a much thinner consolidated text that emerged after SBSTA-12 (12<sup>th</sup> session of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technological Advice).

The GoI has since set up the Expert Group on Kyoto Protocol Mechanisms to crystallize the views in the country, on which TERI too is represented. There has been a shift in the Indian stand towards accepting the CDM conditional to the clarification of principles and modalities of operating the mechanism. This shift is underlined by the joint statement between India and United States on 'Cooperation on energy and environment' signed in March 2000. Stressing on the desire to promote clean energy, it called for development of cleaner and more efficient energy technologies. The statement said that by 2012 GoI hoped to increase the share of renewable energy to 10% of the capacity addition in electricity generation.

The following are key elements of the Indian stand on the CDM.

- 1 The use of flexible mechanisms to meet commitments should be supplemental to domestic effort and an upper limit to their use should be defined.
- 2 Sinks should not be included in the CDM.
- 3 Criteria for CDM projects
  - a Host country to be sole judge of the national sustainable development criteria.
  - b The project activity shall promote transfer of technology.
  - c Capacity building should be incorporated in all CDM projects.
  - d Baselines will be defined on a project-to-project basis.
  - e Funding for project activity shall be additional to ODA (official development assistance), GEF (global environment facility), and other financial commitments of developed country Parties.
- 4 The 'share of proceeds from certified project activities' shall be a stipulated percentage of the differentials of the costs incurred by the developed country Party in reducing GHG through a project activity in a developing country and of the project costs that would have been incurred had the GHG reduction activity taken place in the developed country funding the project.
- 5 The terms and conditions for sharing CERs (certified emissions reductions) and funding will be mutually agreed upon by the developed and developing country Parties.
- 6 The operational entities to certify emission reductions shall be designated by the COP/MOP (conference of parties to the Convention serving as the meeting of parties to the Protocol).
- 7 A national system of monitoring, verifying and reporting under the CDM shall be established.

# **Recent CDM-related initiatives**

Several efforts have been made by both research organizations and industry federations to create awareness and to disseminate information regarding CDM among various sections of society.

TERI

TERI, a not-for-profit research institute, has been working in the area of energy and environment for the last 25 years. It has a dedicated centre on climate change called the Centre for Global Environment Research. TERI's Repository of Environmental Activities and Technologies, or TREAT (<u>www.teriin.org/treat/treat.htm</u>), was one of the earliest initiatives launched in India to sensitize the corporate sector to business opportunities under AIJ and CDM. The core activities are capacity building and training through regular interaction and the organization of national workshops, symposiums, and conferences. Recently, TERI has developed project proposals for private entrepreneurs for the CDM.

# **Development Alternatives**

DA (Development Alternatives) is an NGO that has been active in creating capacity to develop projects. The thrust of many DA projects is social objectivity and utilization of the traditional knowledge base. Thus their focus is on facilitation of renewable energy and applicability of projects in rural environmental settings in India.

# **Confederation of Indian Industry**

CII (Confederation of Indian Industry) has started a Climate Change Centre (<u>www.ciionline.org/climatechange</u>) with the following objectives

- Spread awareness on climate change issues within Indian industry.
- Promote consensus on climate change mechanisms, particularly the CDM, within Indian industry.
- Build local capacity to develop climate change mitigation projects.
- Develop position papers on climate change policy issues.
- Facilitate dialogue between Indian and US business executives to set the tone for collaborations on climate change mitigation projects.
- Conduct seminars and training workshops.

## Federation of Indian Chamber of Commerce and Industries

FICCI (Federation of Indian Chamber of Commerce and Industries), another large industry confederation, is also actively promoting the CDM. FICCI, in collaboration with ICICI has initiated the EIC, or Environmental Information Centre. The main objectives of the EIC are (1) to promote and facilitate industry actions for environmental improvement and management, and (2) to compile and disseminate information relevant for business on global climate change, energy efficiency, clean and climate friendly technologies, and other environmental issues. It has developed a Climate Change India web site jointly with Hagler Bailly Services Inc. (<u>www.climatechangeindia.com/climatechange</u>).

India, with a good human resource base, a vibrant service sector, and increasing awareness provides a good base for developing and implementing CDM projects.

# Current emissions and projections

The latest and most comprehensive national GHG inventory for India was prepared under the GoI-endorsed ALGAS<sup>*a*</sup> project (ADB 1999), a summary of which is presented below.

- On a CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent basis, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions account for 53% of the total emissions. CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O contribute 39% and 8% respectively.
- The energy sector is the main emitter of CO<sub>2</sub>, accounting for 87% of the total emissions, the rest coming from the cement industry (4%) and land conversion (9%).
- Biomass burning and agriculture sector are the main sources of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. A small portion is contributed by the transport sector.

Table 1 summarizes sectoral emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from energy consumption. These estimates are based on the fuel consumption within each sector.

- Fuel combustion in the industrial sector, power generation, and transport sector account for 41%, 34%, and 17% of the total emissions respectively.
- The main source of energy in India is coal. Coal is mainly consumed for power generation and industrial energy requirements, and accounts for 62% of the emissions.
- Total emissions from the energy sector grew at 5.8% between 1989/90 and 1994/95.
- The largest growth in emissions for the above period was in the power generation sector, which grew at 8.6%. Industrial sector emissions grew at 4.7% annually.

Table T Sectoral Emissions (Gg)				
Sector	1989/90	1994/95	CAGR <sup>a</sup> (%)	
Power Generation	175126	262932.60	8.47	
Industry	207878	261483.00	4.70	
Transport	86226	103659	3.75	
Residential	30256	35713	3.37	
Commercial	6646	6912	0.79	

 Table 1
 Sectoral Emissions (Gg)

<sup>a</sup> ALGAS: Asia Least CostGreenhousegas Abatement Strategy

#### Clean Development Mechanism Project Opportunities in India – January 2001

Sector	1989/90	1994/95	CAGR <sup>a</sup> (%)
Agriculture	1797	2756	8.92
Total	507,932	673,457	5.80

<sup>a</sup> compounded annual growth rate

Source: ADB-GEF-UNDP (1998)

Projections of the ALGAS study indicate that total emissions will grow from 532 Tg in 1990 to 1555 Tg in 2010 and 2308 Tg in 2020 (ADB 1999). Emissions from the energy sector will increase fivefold by 2020. These estimates incorporate the effects of future technological improvements on total demand. They indicate that opening of markets for import of petroleum and gas will lead to some substitution, but given the abundance of coal in the country, it will continue to be the dominant source of energy. One of the major sources of emissions in future will be power generation. The Fifteenth EPS (Electric Power Survey) estimates the all-India peak demand to reach 176 GW by 2012, and the total energy requirement of 1058 billion units (TERI 2000). Coal-fired power generation will form a major share of capacity additions.

The industrial sector consumes about 50% (including feedstock) of the total commercial energy produced in the country. The total industrial energy consumption, including non-energy uses, grew from 45.7 MTOE (million tonne of oil equivalent) in 1984-85 to 113.1 MTOE in 1996-97. The most energy-intensive industries are fertilizer, iron and steel, aluminium, cement, and paper and pulp. These industries account for nearly 65% of the total industrial energy consumption. Table 2 shows the estimates of energy consumption for these industries, which have been calculated on the basis of energy consumption norms and existing production capacities. The current energy consumption norms are greater than international norms, and there is much scope for upgrading technologies in these sectors.

Sector Energy consumed (G Kcal) 147035 Iron and Steel sector Fertilizer 149533 Cement 68899 Aluminum 32588 Pulp and Paper 20600 Total energy consumed in the above sectors 418655 Total energy consumed in the industrial sector 641580\*

 Table 2
 Estimated energy consumption in major industrial sectors: 1991

Source : TERI (2000). Note: The figures are for 1990-91

# **Climate Change Mitigation Options and Activities**

# Sector options

The inventory presented above shows that the major increase in emissions over the next 20 years would be related to energy consumption in the economy. Energy efficiency and increasing use of renewable energy (or a move towards low carbon options) are the two main measures that can greatly reduce emissions. Power generation, specifically coal-based power generation, has great potential for mitigation. Transmission and distribution losses are the other major source of energy losses and, hence, emissions. There is considerable scope of reducing losses, which translates into a large mitigation potential. Mitigation options in the power sector include clean coal technologies and renewables. Options such as bagasse-based cogeneration and combined cycle plants are already profitable and generate fewer emissions per kilowatt-hour of electricity than conventional generation.

Alternative low carbon fuel options to current energy sources are another major mitigation option. Short-run options include fuel-switching to lower carbon fuels, which to some extent will be taken up by the economy on its own. In the medium and long run, the use of renewable energy, both centralized and decentralized, is an important mitigation option. Small hydro-, wind- and biomass-based power, although more expensive than conventional coal-based plants, provide significant abatement opportunities. Renewable options for irrigation provide a reliable energy option. With a vast rural population and several remote areas, renewable energy could be the means to clean energy and prosperity in India.

Energy consumption in industrial processes is another area where substantial reductions can be achieved. These include both efficient process and other demand-side management options. Typically, these options lead to an improvement in energy efficiency and resource conservation and introduce advanced technologies, thus laying the foundation for long-term sustainable development. Opportunities in the iron and steel and cement industries are significant.

ALGAS presents a comprehensive list of options in energy efficiency and renewable energy, which are listed in Tables 3 and 4. These options were selected on the basis of three main criteria.

- 1. Consistency with national development priorities.
- 2. Relatively high level of energy consumption in the base activity.

# 3. Relatively large GHG reduction potential offered by the abatement technology.

Power sector	Industrial sector	Domestic sector
Combined cycle plants	Diesel Cogeneration	Efficient refrigerators
Integrated Gasification	Iron & Steel	Efficient air
Combined Cycle (IGCC)	Basic oxygen furnace	Conditioners
	Ultra-high-power electric arc furnace	
Inter-cooled steam injected gas turbine (ISTIG)	Continuous casting Direct reduction process	Efficient lighting (including the commercial sector)
	Dry quenching route	commercial sector)
Pressurized fluidized bed		
combustion (PFBC)	Paper	
	Continuous digesters in paper industry	
Pulverized coal super-critical boilers	Cement	
DOILELS	Dry kilns	
Industrial cogeneration	Dry preheater kilns	
Amorphous core transformers	Caustic soda	
	Membrane process	
	Soda Ash Dual process and Akzo lime	
	process	
	Waste heat recovery	
	High efficiency burners (low excess air)	
	Heat pump	
	High efficiency motors	
	Efficient lighting	

 Table 3
 Supply-side and demand-side energy sector options

# Table 4 Renewable energy options

Power generation	Domestic sector	Agriculture sector
Biomass-fired power	Improved biomass	PV water pumps
generation	cookstoves	Wind pumps
Solar (PV) photovoltaic power	Biogas plants	Biomass gasifiers
Solar thermal power	Solar cookers	
Wind farms	PV home systems	
Small hydropower	PV lanterns	

CII has also assessed the potential of mitigation in various sectors based on different reports (<u>www.ciionline.org/climatechange</u>). These are presented in Table 5.

Project	Emissions	Size of	Overall	Energy	Carbon
category	mitigation	opportunity	investment	benefits	reductions
	opportunity	(electric	potential	expected	expected)
		generation)	(billion dollars)		
Coal washing	Reduce ash content	5000 - 6000 MW	1.8	5500 Btu/kilo	11 million
	from 40% to 30%	of capacity		coal	tonnesannually
Fuel switch	Imported LNG in	38000 MW	3.1	0.15 kg carbon	4 million tonnes
	current coastal coal			per kWh	annually
	plants				
Conventional	Improve thermal	6500 MW	0.15	Not available	4 million tonnes
efficiency	efficiency by 1.5%				
Integrated	Install IGCC	10000 MW	10	2000 Btu/kWh	5 million tonnes
gasification	technologies				annually
combined cycle	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
Renewables	Wind, solar,	35000 MW	25	0.35 kg carbon	60 million
	bagasse, and mini			per/kWh	tonnesannually
	hydro			•	,
Sources: CII (20	00)				

 Table 5
 Mitigation potential of different interventions

Sources: CII (2000)

# Review of AIJ and GEF projects

To assess the possible projects that would be approved by the GoI, we review the projects that have been approved and sanctioned by the government under AIJ and GEF. Table 7 shows the projects submitted under AIJ and considered by the GoI.

Table 7 AIJ projects sanctioned by the Government of India

Project title	Indian partner	Foreign funding
Direct reduced iron project	M/S Essar, Gujarat	Japan
Integrated agricultural DSM	Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board	World Bank
Desi Power biomass gasification	DESI Power, Development Alternative	The Netherlands
Tamarind forest agroforestry in dry lands	ADAT, Bagepalli, Karnataka, India	United States
Energy recovery from waste gas and liquid	IPCL	Japan
Hybrid renewable energy systems		Australia
Methane emission reduction from enteric		Canada
fermentation by using multi-nutrient urea		
blocks		

Of the above projects the direct reduced iron project could not be concluded due differences over transfer of technology. The methane emission reduction project

was not approved because the technology proposed under the project was considered to be available within India.

The GEF portfolio in India is diverse and varied. As of January 1998, 142.48 million dollars has been programmed for India under GEF, of which 119.74 million dollars is allocated to climate change projects. Table 8 lists projects in the climate change area, and indicates that the concentration of projects is mostly in energy options, specifically renewable energy options.

Projects	GEF allocation (million dollars)		
Implementing agencies	UNDP	World Bank	IFC
Operational projects			
Optimising development of small hydel resources in hilly regions of	7.5		
India			
Development of high rate biomethanation process as means of	5.5		
reducing GHG emissions			
ALGAS <sup>2</sup>	0.85		
Alternate energy development project		26	
Selected options for stabilizing GHG emissions	1.5		
Coal bed methane capture and utilization	9.9		
PDF B <sup>3</sup>			
Carbon emission reduction through biomass energy	0.196		
Fuel_cell buses			
Pipeline projects			
India energy efficiency project		5	
Solar thermal electric project		49	
Photovoltaic market transformation initiative (PVMTI) – Regional			15
project			

#### Table 8: GEF<sup>1</sup> climate change portfolio in India

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India

<sup>1</sup>Global Environment Facility; <sup>2</sup>Asia Least-cost Greenhouse Gas Abatement Strategy; <sup>3</sup>Project Development Facility

The majority of support through GEF is concentrated on strengthening the infrastructure to promote renewable energy in India and increase its share. The Alternate energy development project aims at commercialization of solar PV (photovoltaic) and wind technology in India. The biomass, small hydro, fuel cell, and biomethanation projects are aimed at demonstrating the technology to promote its use.

# **CDM project opportunities**

Investors will prefer CDM projects that satisfy three basic criteria.

- 1 High possibility of approval by the government.
- 2 Low transactions costs.
- 3 Significant reduction potential.

# **Energy sector priorities**

Despite a slow start, the GoI is now actively trying to access GEF funds. This is partly because some of the activities have a high overlap with the developmental objectives of the government. The GoI has identified the following project ideas in accordance with its development objectives.

- Removal of implementation barriers of biomass-based power generation.
- Strategy for removing barriers in achieving industrial energy efficiency.
- Carbon reduction through grid-interactive PV power generation.
- Energy conservation through technological upgradation of steel rerolling mills.
- Solar PV diesel hybrid systems for decentralized village electrification.
- Cogeneration in cement plants.
- Carbon emission reduction in power plants.
- Decentralized rural electrification through biomass and solar energy through private participation.
- Switching to non-petroleum fuels, electricity-powered and hybrid engines in transport sector.
- Demand-side management in basic material and construction industry.
- Improving efficiency of rural agriculture pumpsets.
- Energy conservation in paper industry.

As the above list shows, the priority options for the GoI are efficient technologies in power generation, centralized and decentralized renewable energy options, and energy efficiency in steel and paper industries. The text submitted by India on flexible mechanisms states that no forestry sector projects will be allowed. Preference will be given to projects in the renewable sector and those with a focus on energy efficiency improvements. Projects that improve the quality of life of the very poor from the environmental standpoint and promote state-ofthe art, environmentally sound technology will be sought.

The energy sector mitigation options identified above were ranked by policy makers on the basis of

- cost of mitigation
- feasibility of the option
- environmental and other benefits
- consonance with the overall development priorities of the country.

Table 9 presents the overall ranking of options that was done through an analytical hierarchical process or AHP (ADB 1998; Pathak, Srivastava, and Sharma 1999).

	AHP		
Conventional power generation			
Bagasse-based cogeneration	1		
Combined cycle generation (natural gas)	2		
Integrated gasification combined cycle	3		
Pressurized fluidized bed combustion	4		
Atmospheric fluidized bed combustion	5		
Pulverized coal super-critical boilers	6		
Renewables for pow	er generation		
Biomass power	1		
Small hydro	2		
Wind farm	3		
Photovoltaic	4		
Renewables for a	griculture		
Wood-waste-based gasifiers	1		
Agro-waste-based gasifiers	2		
Photovoltaic pump	3		
Wind deep well	4		
Wind shallow well	5		
Cement			
Dry suspension preheater kilns	1		
Dry precalciner kilns	2		
Transpor	t		
Mass rapid transport system	1		
Compressed natural gas car and bus	2		

Table 9 AHP (analytical hierarchical process) results for India

# **Priority CDM projects**

Based on the above information, the following projects are proposed for CDM in India.

# **Power generation**

- 1 Coal power plant using IGCC (integrated gasification combined cycle)
- 2 Coal power plant using PFBC (pulverized fluidized bed combustion)
- 3 Renovation and modernization of power plants

# Renewables

- 4 Wind-based power generation (grid connected)
- 5 Solar-thermal energy for power generation (grid connected)
- 6 Wind pumps for agriculture

# **Industrial efficiency**

- 7 Direct reduction process in iron and steel industry
- 8 Continuous pulp digesters in pulp and paper industry
- 9 Demand-side management: efficient motors

## **Power generation**

India currently has an installed power generation capacity of about 87481 MW, which is insufficient to meet the demands of the country. The demand for power has been growing at the rate of 9 per cent a year and is likely to accelerate because of the economic liberalization policies of the GoI. TERI estimates the power demand in India will be 385000 MW by the year 2020, requiring 380 billion dollars of investment in the power sector alone. Of the total generation capacity of the nation, 71% is based on coal. With proven reserves of about 70 billion tonnes and estimated reserves of about 200 billion tonnes, coal will continue to be the dominant fuel for power generation in the country. Hence introduction of cleaner technologies for coal utilization is one of the sustainable objectives of the nation. The proposed options for power generation are

- 1. Coal power plant using IGCC
- 2. Coal power plant using PFBC
- 3. Renovation and modernization of power plants

#### Coal power plant using PFBC

PFBC is a clean and efficient technology for coal-based power generation. In this technology, the conventional combustion chamber of the gas turbine is replaced by a pressurized fluidized bed combustor. The products of combustion pass through a hot gas cleanup system before entering the turbine, thereby reducing the amount of  $CO_2$  emitted through combustion. The  $CO_2$  reduction from a per unit of power supplied at bus bar using PFBC, over the conventional technology is 0.18 kg. Replacing a conventional plant of 500 MW capacity with a PFBC plant will result in  $CO_2$  emission reduction of 0.58 million tonnes a year. The national mitigation potential for this technological option has been calculated at 8.166 million tonnes of  $CO_2$  annually.

As PFBC technology has a high capital cost, the cost of production is marginally higher than that from a conventional power plant. But savings in coal compensate for the higher costs. The technology results in considerably lower emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO. The technology therefore has a good potential both from a commercial and an environmental perspective.

# **Coal power plant using IGCC**

IGCC is one of the technologies being explored to improve the efficiency of power generation and reduce the environmental burden. A coal gasification combined cycle technology centres around two elements:

- 1. A gasification plant that converts the fuel into a combustible gas and purifies the gas.
- 2. A combined cycle power plant which produces synthetic gas that fuels a gas turbine whose hot exhaust gases are used to generate steam to drive a steam turbine.

The efficiency of the IGCC is the product of the gasification system efficiency and the combined cycle efficiency. The auxiliary power consumption in oxygen blown gasifier is much higher than air blown gasifier and other pulverized coal plants. It is approximately 20% of the gross output. The air separation plant is very energy intensive because of the cryogenic process involved for the production of oxygen. However, the net efficiency of this technology is significantly higher because of the higher calorific value of the synthetic gas produced. For the second-generation technologies, net efficiencies are expected to be in the range of 45%-50%. The current capacity of thermal power plants (existing and sanctioned) is 12647 MW, and the GoI has cleared another 16898.5 MW capacity for implementation. These plants are expected to have a net efficiency of 36% whereas the state-of-the-art IGCC plants have net efficiencies of 46%. Estimates based on above efficiency levels indicate that an IGCC plant will result in CO2 emission reductions of 0.25 kg/kWh. Replacing a conventional plant of 500 MW capacity with an IGCC plant will result in CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction of 0.69 million tonnes. Assuming that IGCC would be adopted for 10% of the capacity sanctioned, 1690 MW, the total potential for emission reduction using IGCC will be 2.33 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>.

The proposed IGCC power plant will reduce emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx, and SPM (suspended particulate matter). It will also reduce solid waste disposal by nearly 70% compared to direct coal-fired plants. An IGCC plant consumes is 0.46 kg of coal for one unit of power generated as opposed to conventional plant's consumption of 0.60 kg/kWh. This reduces energy use and resource spent on producing and transporting coal. The demonstration of this technology will reduce its implementation cost. The planned activities are expected to advance the financial, technological, and economic viability of the technology, creating distribution channels for manufacturing and engineering activities related to gasification technology, advanced gas turbines, and other IGCC/power-related activities.

#### **Renovation and modernization of power plants**

With increasing demand for power, the state-of the art power plants can possibly meet all the requirements but the constraint is the extremely high cost resulting from the high capital cost. Many old and inefficient power plants that contribute to the grid could be upgraded to work efficiently. For a developing country like India, where there is a shortage of financing for additions to capacity and a large number of inefficient and old plants still in operation, renovation and modernization can greatly help improve efficiency, as this just entails a replacement of parts of the plant. Most of the small plants running on coal in India operate below 30% efficiency. Increasing the efficiency could lead to huge amounts of reductions in the emission of  $CO_2$  from this sector. But renovation and modernization has been very slow in the country mainly due to paucity of funds.

The proposed project looks into the renovation and modernization of existing old inefficient power plants in the country and will result in reductions in the emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and SPM. The project would reduce coal consumption which in turn would greatly reduce  $CO_2$  emissions.

#### Renewables

## Wind-based power generation (grid connected)

Wind is one of the important grid-connected renewable energy resources. The installed wind capacity as of December 1999 was 1080 MW. A huge potential remains unexploited and the GoI is addressing institutional and policy issues to increase the spread of wind based capacity. The MNES (Ministry of Non-conventional Energy Sources) to raise the share of renewable energy in total installed capacity of grid electricity generation to 10% by 2012.

India has a potential of 35 000 MW at 30 m hub height and 45 000 MW at 50 m hub height. The installed capacity is 1080 MW. Over the years the performances have increased with improving CF (capacity factors), with some projects achieving 30% CF. Concessional interest rates, favorable buy-back rates, and other fiscal policies support the wind energy projects. The availability of extra finances for the project can improve the feasibility and, hence, the rate of increase in capacity. The capacity addition in the first three years of the Ninth Plan (1997-2002) was 180 MW. Given the current requirement of 12 000 MW capacity a year to keep up with the demand, the GoI is aiming at 1200 MW from renewable sources. A more realistic capacity additions would be 400 MW. Based on the past experience, and improving policy environment, a realistic estimate of capacity addition from wind would be 100-200 MW. The additional capacity in power generation is assumed to replace the planned thermal capacity in the country, which is expected to have design efficiencies of 38%.

#### Solar thermal energy for power generation (grid connected)

The utilization of solar thermal energy for power generation is likely to be higher on the list of priorities of the Ministry of Power and that of the MNES as it has a great potential for GHG reduction. It is a clean technology and does not involve use of any conventional fuel, fossil or otherwise. The Fifteenth Electric Power Survey shows that the energy requirement at power station will be the highest for the northern region. The proposed option includes the installation of parabolic trough system to generate power using solar thermal energy. The specific option considered looks into the installation of solar thermal plants of capacity 500 MW. Given that use of solar thermal energy for power generation requires intensive solar radiation, northern region is suitable location since the Thar Desert of India falls in the region. Population is concentrated in and around urban areas of this desert region. Thus provision of space, a major requirement for setting up of solar plants, would be ideal in the region given the intense solar radiation available.

#### Wind pumps for agriculture

India has a large potential for wind energy. Apart from an estimated potential of 45 000 MW of wind-based power, the country can use wind energy directly to pump out water for irrigation and drinking purposes. If harnessed effectively, this option would reduce considerably the use of diesel and grid electricity in conventional pumps, thereby conserving fossil fuel and offsetting environmental concerns related to their use.

There are about 5 million diesel and 4 million electric pumps operating in the agricultural sector. The MNES has been installing geared-type deep well wind pumps under its demonstration programme and providing financial incentives for wider dissemination of the technology. A wind pump with rotor diameter over 5 m at a windy site can replace a 5 HP diesel or electric pump. Based on this observation, the incremental cost of CO<sub>2</sub> saved by switching from electric to wind pumps was estimated. Assuming the requirement of water to be 10 000 litres a day, 365 days a year, it was concluded that wind pumps could meet the water requirements during lean periods. Using a discount rate of 10%, and taking the average life of the pump as 20 years, an analysis showed that the option has tremendous carbon saving potential at relatively low cost. (Please refer to template in section B).

#### Industrial efficiency

#### Continuous digesters in pulp and paper industry

The pulp and paper sector is one of the oldest industries in India. Presently there are about 400 pulp and paper industries: 364 in the small-and medium-

scale sector and 36 in the large-scale sector. The combined annual installed capacity of these mills is 6.2 million tonnes. The domestic demand for paper and paperboard is expected to increase from 3.05 million tonnes in 1998/99 to 3.6 million tonnes in 2001/2002 at a CAGR (compounded annual growth rate) of six per cent. With increasing demand in paper and paper products, large pulp and paper plants are expected to be installed during the next two five-year plans.

The proposed technological option is to adopt one of the energy efficient measures in the pulp and paper industry. The capacity of continuous digester process that can be installed was estimated at 1.29 million tonnes a year (TERI 1998). Though the actual penetration level of continuous digester technology is only 9% of the total installed capacity, there is a scope to increase this 30%. The adoption of this technology would increase energy efficiency and reduce  $CO_2$  emissions. It was estimated that using continuous digester technology 690.96 kg of  $CO_2$  could be avoided per tonne of paper and the total  $CO_2$  avoided would be 675.75 kilo-tonnes a year.

The case considered for adoption of this option is a typical plant size of capacity of 300 tonne a day. The demonstration of this technology will reduce its implementation cost. The planned activities are expected to advance the financial, technological, and economic viability of the technology, creating distribution channels for manufacturing and engineering activities related to continuous digester technology and other activities in the pulp and paper industry. This project will provide a large quantum of CERs and the monitoring and measurement procedures are simple.

#### Direct reduction process in iron and steel industry

It is estimated that the demand for finished steel in India will cross 55 million tonnes a year by 2010. To meet these demands, the GoI has encouraged private Indian and foreign investments in the iron and steel industry to build new plants and modernize the existing ones. In response to policy changes, many steel producers are modernizing and expanding facilities and diversifying their product range. The Indian government's emphasis is on expansion and modernization of the existing facilities by technological improvements. The major changes would include

- switching from OHF (open hearth furnace) to BOF (basic oxygen furnaces)
- greater use of LD (Linz Donowitz) convertors

- installation of continuous casting lines to maximize yields
- reduce energy consumption and use computers

The expansion and modernization programme considered in six of the public sector integrated steel mills (SAIL and VSP) is estimated to cost over 9 billion dollars.

The mitigation project that is considered in this option is related to coke making using the DQC (dry quenching of coke) process. The option suggested is the adoption of this technology in the base case, which is a SAIL plant, as part of the modernisation programme of the public sector iron and steel industries in the country. The potential for reduction by adopting the DQC process, in which 320-360 billion kcal/year heat can be recovered in the form of high pressure superheated steam, is at the rate 0.45 tone per tonne of coke cooled. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that can be avoided in the sector using this technology is to the tune of 950 kilotonnes a year and the incremental cost of abatement for a tonne of  $CO_2$  is \$10. In terms of direct benefits alone, such a project is estimated to have a payback of 3-4 years. The total  $CO_2$  emissions that can be reduced by the adoption of this technology in the base case, which is a SAIL plant, is 155.977 kilotonnes of  $CO_2$ . The incremental cost is \$15.58 for a tonne of  $CO_2$  saved.

#### **Efficient industrial motors**

The industrial sector is the largest consumer of electricity in India. It consumes about 50% of the total commercial energy produced in the country. The major electricity-intensive industries are textile, chemicals, iron and steel, aluminum, fertilizer, cement, paper, non-ferrous, and collieries. In these industries, energy efficiencies are much lower than in industrialized countries. Studies show that the potential for energy conservation in the industrial sector is 20%-25% of overall energy consumption. In the context of rapidly increasing electricity demand and shortfalls in electricity availability and generating capacity, the need for efforts for conservation and improving efficiency becomes important.

It has been estimated that 60%-70% of the total electricity consumed by industries is consumed by electric motors. Electrical motors are used in industrial applications such as pumps, compressors, fans, and blowers, agitators crushers, pulverize, and conveyors. The type and sizes of motors used in industry vary widely, although AC squirrel cage induction motors constitute the bulk of the motors used. Since electric motors comprise a single relatively

homogenous end-use category, small amount of savings on the percentage basis will result in significant potential for energy conservation within the industrial sector.

The proposed option lies in replacing existing old AC squirrel cage motors with energy efficient motors in the HT industry. This will lead to sizeable amounts of energy conservation and reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

#### Clean Development Mechanism Project Opportunities in India – January 2001

Option	Incremental cost of abatement (\$/tonne of CO <sub>2</sub>	National mitigation potential (thousand tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub>
Power generation		
Integrated gasification combined cycle	30	14610
Pressurized fludizied bed combustion	1	8166
Renovation and modernization	30	8579
Renewables		
Wind power (grid connected)	31	526
Solar thermal power (grid connected)	168	300
Wind pumps for agriculture	20	<1
Industrial efficiency		
Pulp and paper – continuous digester	11	904
Iron and steel - dry quenching	10	950
Replacement of industrial motors	14	36

# Table 10 Abatement costs and national CO<sub>2</sub> carbon dioxide mitigation potential for some options.

# Analysis

# Project opportunity: Replacement of conventional coal technology with IGCC

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Conventional coal technology	IGCC
Benefits		Income from sale of power
		Emission reduction at power plant
		More efficient than baseline
Additionality		Financial
		Regulatory
		Technological
		Investment
Plant capacity (MW)	500	500
Power production (GWh/year)	3504	3504
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (kg/kWh)	1.16	0.82
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (tonnes/year)	3827786	2305895
Initial investment (million \$)	835.5	1114.5
Fuel costs (million \$/year)	81.780	57.887
Fuel savings (baseline – opportunity)		15.929
(million \$/year)		
Annual O&M costs (million \$/year)	20.9	27.9
Income from sale of power @ Rs per		
kWh (\$/year)		
Years of benefit (project life)	30	30
Other benefits		Reduced local emissions

#### Baseline Project Opportunity Description Conventional coal technology PFBC Cost Components Benefits Income from sale of power Emission reduction at power plant More efficient that baseline Saving of coal Additionality Financial Regulatory Technological Investment Plant capacity (MW) 500 500 Power production (GWh/year) 3504 3504 $CO_2$ emissions (kg/kWh) 1.16 0.89 CO<sub>2</sub>emissions (tonnes/year) 3827786 2977167 Initial investment (million \$) 835.5 964.5 Other initial costs Fuel costs (million \$/year) 81.780 62.937 Fuel savings (baseline - opportunity) (million 12.562 \$/year) Annual O&M costs (million \$/year) 20.9 24.1 Income from sale of power @ Rs \_\_\_\_\_ per kWh (\$/year) Years of benefit (project life) 30 30

### **Project opportunity: PFBC power generation**

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	705 MW power plant using coal	Renovated power plant with a capacity of 720 MW
Benefits		Savings in fuel consumption
		Reduction in the level of emissions
Additionality		Regulatory
		Technical.
		Emission
Plant capacity(MW)	705	720
Power production (GWh/year)	4014	4415
$CO_2$ emissions (kg/kWh)	1.33	1.20
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (tonnes/year)	5354032	5333840
Initial investment (million \$)		84.10
Fuel costs (million \$/year)	135.450	134.939
Fuel savings (million \$/year)		0.510
Annual O&M Costs (\$/year)		55
Years of benefit (Project life)	10	10

# Project opportunity: renovation and modernization of power plant

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Conventional coal technology	Parabolic trough system
Benefits	05	Income from sale of power
		Total emission reduction
		Would benefit industrialization in arid zones and
		provide livelihood for people
		No use of any natural resources or fuel in power
		generation
Additionality		Financial
-		Regulatory
		Technological
		Investment
Plant capacity (MW)	500	500
Power production (GWh/year)	3504	1007.4
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (kg/kWh)	1.16	0.00
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (tonnes/year)	3827786	0.00
Initial Investment (million \$)	23394	62748
Other initial costs		
Fuel costs (million \$/year)	81.780	0.00
Fuel savings (baseline – opportunity)		81.780
(million \$/year)		
Annual O&M costs (\$/year)		
Income from sale of power @ Rs/kWh		
(\$/year)	20	20
Years of benefit (project life)	30	30
Other Benefits		

# Project opportunity: solar thermal power generation

# Clean Development Mechanism Project Opportunities in India – January 2001

Project opportunity: replacement of conventional coal technology with wind based power generation

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Power generation using conventional coal	Wind based power generation technology
Benefits		Savings in cost of the fuel used to
		generate power
		Emission reductions
Additionality		Regulatory
		Emission
		Technical
Plant capacity (MW)	500	3
Power production (GWh/year)	3504	6.83
CO2 emissions (kg/kWh)	1.16	0
CO2 emissions (tonnes/year)	3827786	0
Initial investment (million \$)	835.5	4.96
Fuel costs (million \$/year.)	81.78	0.00
Fuel Savings (baseline – opportunity)		81.78
(million \$/year.)		
Annual O&M costs (million \$/year)	20.9	0.12
Years of benefit (project life)	25	20

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Electric pump with a capacity of 5 HP	Wind-based water pump
Benefits		Reduction in CO <sub>2</sub> emissions
		Augmentation of drinking water supply options
Additionality		Regulatory
		Emission
Plant capacity	5HP	
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (kg/kWh)	1.16	0
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (tonnes/year)	2.02	0
Initial investment (\$)	1178	2321
Other initial costs		
Fuel costs (\$/year)	109	0
Fuel savings (baseline – opportunity) (\$/yr)		109
Annual O&M Costs (\$/yr)	18	71
Years of benefit (project life)	20	20
Other benefits		

# Project opportunity: replacement of electric pumps with wind pumps

# Project opportunity: replacement of wet quenching process by dry quenching technology in the iron & steel industry

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Wet quenching technology in production	Dry quenching technology in production
Benefits	production	Reduction in the level of emissions
Additionality		Regulatory
Additionality		Technical.
		Investment
Plant capacity	NA	NA
Power production (GWh/year)	0	0
$CO_2$ emissions (kg/kWh)	NA	NA
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (tonnes/year)	155977	0
Initial investment (million \$)		17.8
Fuel costs (million \$/year)	NA	NA
Energy savings (Baseline – opportunity) (TJ)		1819
Annual O&M costs (\$/year)	0	535714
Years of benefit (Project Life)	30	30
Other benefits		Better efficiency of operation
		Better environmental performance

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Batch digester	Continuous digester
Cost components	·	·
Benefits		Total emission reduction
Additionality		Financial
-		Regulatory
		Technological
		Investment
Plant capacity (tpd)	300	150
Savings in CO <sub>2</sub> (kg/tonne)		700.71
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (million tonnes/year)		0.903
Initial investment (\$/tonne/year)	30.509	
Other initial costs		
Fuel savings (baseline – opportunity)		20.96
(\$/year)		
Annual O&M costs (\$/year)	1.525	0.00
Years of benefit (project life)	20	20
Other benefits		Better local environment
		Less opposition to setting up of these plants
		Larger quantum of CERs
		Easy monitoring and measurement

# Project opportunity: continuous digester process in pulp and paper industry

# **Project opportunity: replacement of standard motors with energy efficient motors in the industrial sector**

	Baseline	Project opportunity
Description	Standard motors	Energy efficient motors
Cost components		
Benefits		Meets environmental standards better
Additionality		Regulatory
		Emission
		Technical
Capacity (kW)	55	55
CO <sub>2</sub> savings (tonnes/year)		9.092
Initial investment (\$)	4220	5893
Other initial costs		
Fuel savings (baseline – opportunity)		713
(\$/year)		
Annual O&M costs (\$/year)	Nil	Nil
Years of benefit (project life)	8	8

# Future options

The above exercise focuses exclusively on energy sector options. However, it does indicate that the focus in India is primarily on power generation, renewable energy for meeting rural energy demands, and industrial sector interventions. Urban air pollution makes transport sector mitigation options (MRTS, CNG cars and buses) important, but potential CDM projects would have to be designed carefully to minimize transaction costs and ensure additionality.